



THE APPEAL COMMISSION

THE WORKERS COMPENSATION ACT OF MANITOBA

2014

Appeal Commission and
Medical Review Panel

A N N U A L R E P O R T

Letter to the Minister

The Honourable Erna Braun
Minister Responsible for *The Workers Compensation Act*
Legislative Building
450 Broadway
Winnipeg, MB R3C 0V8

Dear Minister:

I am pleased to present our 2014 Annual Report in accordance with the provisions of *The Workers Compensation Act*. This report covers the 12-month period from January 1, 2014 to December 31, 2014.

Respectfully submitted,

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Alan Scramstad". The signature is written in a cursive style with a large initial 'A'.

Alan Scramstad
Chief Appeal Commissioner



Table of Contents

Introduction	4
Appeal Commission	
Mission and Values.....	5
A Message from the Chief Appeal Commissioner	6
About the Appeal Commission	8
Method of Appeal.....	9
General Hearing/Review Information	10
The Appeal Commissioners	11
Highlights.....	12
Commissioner Workshops	12
Disclosures under <i>The Public Interest Disclosure (Whistleblower Protection) Act</i>	12
Appeal Commission Statistics	13
Service Levels	20
Medical Review Panels	
About Medical Review Panels	21
Medical Review Panel Statistics	22
Service Levels	23



Introduction

This report outlines the activities and statistics for both the Appeal Commission and Medical Review Panels for the period January 1, 2014 to December 31, 2014 inclusive.

The Appeal Commission is the final level of appeal in the workers compensation system in Manitoba. The Appeal Commission has exclusive jurisdiction to hear and decide appeals that arise from decisions made by the Workers Compensation Board (WCB). The Appeal Commission is separate and independent from the WCB, ensuring that issues from workers and employers are heard by an impartial panel.

The Appeal Commission consists of full- and part-time appeal commissioners who are appointed by the Lieutenant Governor in Council for specific terms.

Medical Review Panels are established under *The Workers Compensation Act* (the Act) as a separate and independent process from the WCB and the Appeal Commission. Medical Review Panels allow questions to be asked of an independent panel of medical experts and an impartial medical opinion obtained on a worker's claim. The findings of a Medical Review Panel are considered evidentiary in nature and are not binding upon the WCB or Appeal Commission.

The Minister responsible for the Act appoints the Medical Review Panel Chair and Alternate Chair. The independence of the Chairs, and the ability of the employer and worker to select physicians who have not previously treated the worker to sit on a panel, allows for an impartial medical opinion.

The Office of the Registrar provides administrative support to both the Appeal Commission and Medical Review Panels.

Mission Statement

To ensure workers and employers continue to receive a full and impartial hearing of appeals in accordance with fairness and the rules of natural justice and that decisions of the Appeal Commission are a fair reflection of the facts of the case and the governing legislation.

Values

Quality Assurance

The Appeal Commission maintains a consistent and high level of professional conduct, knowledgeable decision-making, and accountability, while respecting differing and shared values and perspectives.

Public Integrity

The Appeal Commission is, and is seen to be, an independent body with integrity and a rational decision-making process.

Responsive to Change

The Appeal Commission evolves in a proactive and creative manner by assuring a process of consultation and consensus around desired change.

Interdependence

All appeal commissioners are committed to a high standard of professionalism in their duties to conduct fair and impartial hearings under *The Workers Compensation Act* and its regulations. As well as their individual responsibilities to become knowledgeable in the matters before them, the commissioners have individual and collective responsibilities to one another to ensure an atmosphere of mutual respect, tolerance of individual differences and opinions, freedom from pre-determined bias and a commitment to look for objective truth and sound decision-making.

Respectful Work Environment

Appeal commissioners interact with staff and colleagues in a manner which promotes mutual respect as well as clarity and understanding of respective roles.

A Message from the Chief Appeal Commissioner

I am pleased to present the Annual Report of the Appeal Commission for the year 2014.

The Appeal Commission is the final appeal body under *The Workers Compensation Act* (the Act). It provides an independent forum for the resolution of concerns for persons who are not satisfied with the decisions of the Workers Compensation Board (WCB). It also serves as the final appeal body under *The Victims' Bill of Rights* for victims of crime in Manitoba and the *Government Employees Compensation Act* for federal government employees working in Manitoba.

After substantial discussion, drafting and revision, I am pleased to advise that the Code of Conduct for Appeal Commissioners came into effect as of December 18, 2014. The Appeal Commission is committed to foster and maintain the highest standards of professionalism and conduct among its members. The Code establishes rules of conduct governing the legal, professional and ethical responsibilities of the Appeal Commission's members. The Code can be viewed on the Appeal Commission website — www.appeal.mb.ca.

I wish to thank the Manitoba Council of Administrative Tribunals and our full-time appeal commissioners for their work on the Code.

This report contains statistics which detail the work of the Appeal Commission over the past year and demonstrate that the Appeal Commission is scheduling, hearing and deciding cases effectively. The Appeal Commission is committed to ensuring that all appeals receive full and fair consideration.

The Appeal Commission operates with a system of full- and part-time appeal commissioners. Timely and competent appointments are essential to the effective operation of the Appeal Commission. I would like to thank the Minister for her assistance and cooperation in ensuring that timely and competent appointments are made. I would also like to thank our stakeholders for their serious consideration and nomination of competent candidates for appointment to the Appeal Commission.

We have been fortunate to have many commissioners who have served the Appeal Commission for multiple terms. In recognition of the new ten-year term limit for Board and commission members, we are working with our stakeholders to provide early notice of the need to replace members whose terms are reaching the ten-year limit. We appreciate the importance of lead time for finding qualified candidates to nominate for appointment to the Appeal Commission.

In 2014 the following appointments and re-appointments of appeal commissioners were made:

Karen Wittman — new member representative of the public interest for an initial 2-year term;

Christian Monnin — member representative of the public interest for a further 3-year term;

Margaret Bencharski — member representative of employers for a final 2-year term;

Ron Koslowsky — member representative of employers for a final 2-year term;

Christiane Devlin — member representative of employers for a further 3-year term;

Pete Walker — full-time appeal commissioner representative of workers for a further 3-year term; and,

Alan Scramstad — Chief Appeal Commissioner for a further 5-year term.

Thank you to all appeal commissioners for their dedication and excellent work on behalf of the workers compensation system.

In performing our services, appeal commissioners are supported by a group of dedicated and competent staff. Thank you to the Registrar of the Appeal Commission, Peter Wiebe, the Assistant Registrar, Roger Lafrance and the staff of the Appeal Commission for their diligent work on behalf of the Appeal Commission. Their assistance and support are essential to the Appeal Commission's successful operations.

I am proud of the Appeal Commission's 2014 record and look forward to a productive 2015.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Alan Scramstad". The signature is written in a cursive, flowing style.

Alan Scramstad

About the Appeal Commission

The Appeal Commission is the final level of appeal in the workers compensation system and operates separately and independently from the WCB. The Appeal Commission hears all appeals from workers and employers concerning WCB Review Office or Assessment Committee decisions. The Appeal Commission also acts as the final level of appeal under the *Government Employees Compensation Act* for federal employees working in Manitoba and for claims from victims of crime filed under *The Victims' Bill of Rights*.

The full- and part-time appeal commissioners are appointed by the Lieutenant Governor in Council for specific terms. *The Workers Compensation Act* (the Act) requires that the Appeal Commission consist of one or more appeal commissioners representing the public interest, one of whom is designated as Chief Appeal Commissioner, and one or more appeal commissioners representing workers and employers covered under the Act.

The Appeal Commission's conduct is governed by Regulation 279/91, *Appeal Commission Rules of Procedure*. The Rules of Procedure establish the responsibilities of the Chief Appeal Commissioner, the Registrar and the appeal panels to ensure that the integrity and transparency of the appeal system is protected and maintained.

Appeals are heard by panels of three commissioners, representing public, worker and employer interests, respectively. The commissioner representing the public interest is the chair of the panel and is responsible for the conduct of the hearing/review.

Hearings and reviews are conducted in accordance with the rules of natural justice and procedural fairness. All parties to an appeal (i.e., the employer, worker and their representatives) are given an equal opportunity to present their case.

An appeal panel may hear witnesses from either or both parties and may subpoena witnesses or evidence that will assist the panel in reaching a decision. A party with a direct interest may appear before the panel to provide evidence or, in some cases, ask the panel to conduct a review of the file which would not require the attendance of any party.

The Appeal Commission is a non-adversarial enquiry forum where parties with a direct interest in a matter provide oral or written evidence. An appeal panel can ask questions or obtain additional evidence which ensures that the panel has all the information necessary to reach a fair decision.

Written decisions are provided to the parties to an appeal within 60 days of the hearing ending. Decisions are made based on the evidence on file, the evidence presented during the hearing/review and any additional evidence the appeal panel has requested.

The Appeal Commission also provides access to our decisions to all stakeholders. Decisions are publicly available in several ways, including through the Appeal Commission's website – www.appeal.mb.ca. Decisions made available to the public are written to protect the privacy of all parties, in compliance with the various pieces of privacy legislation in Manitoba.

Method of Appeal

Appellants can request a file review or oral hearing; however, the Chief Appeal Commissioner or an appeal panel addressing an appeal has the final authority to determine the most appropriate method for an appeal.

File Review

An appeal will be addressed by a file review if all the issues can be decided based on the file documents and written submissions. The panel reaches a decision after it conducts a full review of the file documentation and any evidence submitted in writing by the parties with a direct interest. If an appeal is decided by a file review, interested parties do not appear in person before the appeal panel.

Some examples of appeals commonly decided by file review include:

- most assessment appeals
- factual matters such as the level of average earnings
- applications for an increase in permanent partial impairment where no wage loss is involved
- payment of medical aid/travel expenses
- appeals where the facts of the case are not in dispute.

Oral Hearing

Oral hearings are the most common appeal forums, and they are where the more complex appeals are usually heard. The party requesting the hearing must appear in person to present the appeal to the panel verbally, although the appeal can be supported by a written submission. In some instances, a party may be allowed to participate via teleconference. Witnesses may attend in support of the appeal, so long as the Appeal Commission is advised in advance that they will be attending.

When an oral hearing takes place, everyone who participates is given an equal opportunity to present their case.

Some examples of appeals decided by an oral hearing are:

- where additional evidence is to be presented
- where there is a fatality
- where serious injury has occurred
- where an occupational disease is involved
- where the decision may have an important impact on how WCB policy is interpreted or applied
- where the facts are in dispute.

General Hearing/Review Information

- Hearings and reviews are scheduled as soon as the parties are ready to proceed.
- A worker's or an employer's access to file information is subject to certain restrictions contained in the Act. File access generally takes up to six weeks, but can be longer if objections to the release of information are received.
- Any evidence concerning an appeal must be provided to the Appeal Commission at least five business days before the review or hearing.
- By Regulation, a decision will be rendered within 60 days of the completion of the hearing or review.

After an Appeal

The Appeal Commission cannot clarify or change its decision once it has been made, except to correct typographical errors. The panel is unable to provide any further reasons or explanations for its decision, and cannot consider further arguments or submissions by the parties.

Appeal Commission decisions are final. Once a decision has been made, there are limited remedies available to the parties under the Act. These remedies are:

1. Under Section 60.9 of the Act, if a party thinks the panel has acted outside its authority or has erred in applying the Act, regulations or WCB policy, the party can approach the Board of Directors of the WCB and ask them to review the decision. The party must identify the error made by the panel. Should the Board of Directors consider that an error has been made, they may order that the appeal be re-heard.
2. Under Section 60.10 of the Act, if a party has new evidence that is substantial and material to the decision, they may apply to the Chief Appeal Commissioner and request reconsideration of the decision. The information must not have existed at the time of the original hearing, or been known to the applicant and also could not have been discovered through the exercise of due diligence. If the Chief Appeal Commissioner decides that the evidence meets the reconsideration provisions of the Act, a new hearing on the matter will be ordered. The Chief Appeal Commissioner is unable to consider further arguments about the evidence that was before the original panel.

The Appeal Commissioners

The Full-Time Appeal Commissioners

The Chief Appeal Commissioner and full- and part-time commissioners representing workers, employers and the public interest are appointed by the Lieutenant Governor in Council.

As at December 31, 2014, there were four full-time commissioners:

Lindy Choy, Presiding Officer (Public Interest)

Allan Finkel, Appeal Commissioner (Employers)

Alan Scramstad, Chief Appeal Commissioner (Public Interest)

Pete Walker, Appeal Commissioner (Workers)

The Part-Time Appeal Commissioners

During 2014, the Appeal Commission had a roster of part-time appeal commissioners representing the public interest, workers and employers. The services of the part-time commissioners are used when full-time commissioners are in conflict on a case, for vacation relief, when workloads increase and to maintain their level of expertise.

PUBLIC INTEREST

Karen Dyck

Denny Kells

Christian Monnin

Karen Wittman

REPRESENTING WORKERS

Cliff Anderson

Margaret Day

Mark Kernaghan

Marc Lafond

Grant Ogonowski

REPRESENTING EMPLOYERS

Margaret Bencharski

Christiane Devlin

Ron Koslowsky

Pam Marsden

Barrie Simoneau

Highlights

- **Alan Scramstad**, Chief Appeal Commissioner and **Peter J. Wiebe**, Registrar, served as members of the Board of Directors of the Manitoba Council of Administrative Tribunals (MCAT). Mr. Wiebe was Vice-President.
- **Peter J. Wiebe**, Registrar, chaired the workshop "Privacy Issues" at MCAT's 9th Annual Conference.
- **Lindy Choy**, Appeal Commissioner, was a panelist on the workshop "The Role of Experts" at MCAT's 9th Annual Conference.
- **Lindy Choy**, Appeal Commissioner, was a presenter at MCAT's New Tribunal Member Training Program.
- Effective December 18, 2014, the Code of Conduct for Appeal Commissioners came into effect.
- In December 2014, arrangements were made for the implementation of a new toll-free telephone number in order to allow parties to contact the Appeal Commission directly (1-855-925-6110).

Commissioner Workshops

(CONTINUING EDUCATION)

Full- and part-time appeal commissioners attend internal workshops and participate in external training throughout the year on topics related to workers compensation and administrative tribunals. Workshops and external training attended in 2014 were:

- Bill 65 Amendments to *The Workers Compensation Act*
- Manitoba Council of Administrative Tribunals sessions:
 - 9th Annual Conference
 - Running a Fair Hearing
- Council of Canadian Administrative Tribunals conference:
 - Who am I?
- Manitoba Bar Association Mid-Winter conference
- Law Society of Manitoba session:
 - Accidental Racists
- Canadian Bar Association conference:
 - Navigating the Future


Disclosures under *The Public Interest Disclosure (Whistleblower Protection) Act*

There were no disclosures received in 2014.


Appeal Commission Statistics

Workers Compensation Statistics

TOTAL APPEALS	YEAR ENDING 2014	YEAR ENDING 2013	YEAR ENDING 2012
Appeals carried forward from previous year	22	26	35
Hearings held (by appeal)	130	158	119
Reviews held (by appeal)	34	26	25
Cases in process	[17]	[22]	[26]
Cases adjourned	[3]	[4]	[7]




Appeals accepted	38	51	30
Appeals partially accepted	13	15	3
Appeals not accepted	115	118	113
TOTAL APPEALS DECIDED	166	184	146




POST-HEARING ACTIVITIES <i>(cases in process)</i>	YEAR ENDING 2014	YEAR ENDING 2013	YEAR ENDING 2012
Cases awaiting further information requested by the panel	5	10	9
Cases awaiting decision	3	1	0
Decision writing	9	11	17
TOTAL	17	22	26

TOTAL WORKER APPEALS TO CLAIM/REHABILITATION ISSUES	YEAR ENDING 2014	YEAR ENDING 2013	YEAR ENDING 2012
Appeals accepted	32	43	27
Appeals partially accepted	11	13	2
Appeals not accepted	99	102	92
TOTAL APPEALS DECIDED	142	158	121




NUMBER OF WORKERS REPRESENTED BY:	YEAR ENDING 2014	YEAR ENDING 2013	YEAR ENDING 2012
Legal Counsel	7	9	5
Union Representative	16	18	6
Worker Advisor	27	18	35
Advocate	10	7	6
Self-Representation	78	105	66
Other	4	1	3

TOTAL EMPLOYER APPEALS	YEAR ENDING 2014	YEAR ENDING 2013	YEAR ENDING 2012
Appeals accepted	6	8	3
Appeals partially accepted	2	2	1
Appeals not accepted	16	16	21
TOTAL	24	26	25




NUMBER OF EMPLOYERS REPRESENTED BY:	YEAR ENDING 2014	YEAR ENDING 2013	YEAR ENDING 2012
Legal Counsel	0	2	3
Advocate	8	8	9
Employer Agent	14	12	12
Self-Representation	2	4	1


EMPLOYER APPEALS TO CLAIM/REHABILITATION ISSUES	YEAR ENDING 2014	YEAR ENDING 2013	YEAR ENDING 2012
Appeals accepted	5	8	3
Appeals partially accepted	2	2	1
Appeals not accepted	14	11	17
TOTAL	21	21	21






EMPLOYER APPEALS TO ASSESSMENT ISSUES	YEAR ENDING 2014	YEAR ENDING 2013	YEAR ENDING 2012
Appeals accepted	1	0	0
Appeals partially accepted	0	0	0
Appeals not accepted	2	5	4
TOTAL	3	5	4



APPEAL TYPE - CLAIM	YEAR ENDING 2014	YEAR ENDING 2013	YEAR ENDING 2012
Appeals accepted	36	50	28
Appeals partially accepted	12	12	3
Appeals not accepted	97	103	96
TOTAL	145	165	127






APPEAL TYPE - REHABILITATION	YEAR ENDING 2014	YEAR ENDING 2013	YEAR ENDING 2012
Appeals accepted	1	1	2
Appeals partially accepted	1	3	0
Appeals not accepted	16	10	13
TOTAL	18	14	15



● Percentage accepted ● Percentage partially accepted ● Percentage not accepted

APPEAL TYPE - ASSESSMENT	YEAR ENDING 2014	YEAR ENDING 2013	YEAR ENDING 2012
Appeals accepted	1	0	0
Appeals partially accepted	0	0	0
Appeals not accepted	2	5	4
TOTAL	3	5	4



● Percentage accepted ● Percentage partially accepted ● Percentage not accepted

TOTAL APPEALS DECIDED	166	184	146
------------------------------	------------	------------	------------

MOST COMMON ISSUES ADDRESSED	YEAR ENDING 2014	YEAR ENDING 2013	YEAR ENDING 2012
Entitlement to wage loss benefits (post-1992)	57	76	55
Claim acceptance	48	44	38
Entitlement to medical aid payment	42	43	28
Is present condition related to the compensable injury	20	26	13
Entitlement to an increase in the permanent partial impairment award	6	10	6
Is the employer entitled to cost relief	5	5	6
Entitlement to a permanent partial impairment award	5	3	5
Deeming process	4	2	10
Average earnings policy	3	3	5
Is worker to reimburse the WCB for overpayment of benefits	3	3	1
Is worker capable of performing modified duties	3	2	0
Mitigation	3	4	6
Entitlement to further physiotherapy treatment	3	3	2
Is the worker entitled to payment for prescription medications	3	2	0
Was the vocational rehabilitation plan appropriate	3	2	3
Are deemed workers earnings reportable to the WCB	2	1	2
Entitlement to a discretionary rehabilitation expense	2	2	0
Has the permanent partial impairment award been correctly calculated	2	3	3
Entitlement to snow removal services/equipment	2	1	0
Is the chronic pain condition related to the compensable injury	1	0	0
Is the worker entitled to special additional compensation benefits	1	0	0
Other issues	15	39	25
TOTAL ISSUES ADDRESSED	233	274	208

RECONSIDERATIONS UNDER SECTION 60.10 OF THE ACT	YEAR ENDING 2014	YEAR ENDING 2013	YEAR ENDING 2012
Number of requests decided	9	3	10
Reconsiderations granted	0	0	6
Referred back to the WCB to address new issues identified by the Chief Appeal Commissioner/designate	0	0	0

FILE ACCESS APPEALS	YEAR ENDING 2014	YEAR ENDING 2013	YEAR ENDING 2012
Worker appeals	8	7	9
Employer appeals	3	0	2
TOTAL FILE ACCESS APPEALS	11	7	11

Victims' Rights Statistics

TOTAL APPEALS	YEAR ENDING 2014	YEAR ENDING 2013	YEAR ENDING 2012
Appeals accepted	0	0	0
Appeals partially accepted	0	0	0
Appeals not accepted	0	0	0
TOTAL	0	0	0

Service Levels

The Appeal Commission tracks the time it takes an appeal to move through the appeal process. Service levels are reviewed at various stages of the appeal process to identify delays and to determine if administrative improvements can be made.

Appeal Commission staff personally contact all interested parties when scheduling hearings to ensure that the parties are provided sufficient time to fully prepare.

According to Regulation 279/91, *Appeal Commission Rules of Procedure*, an appeal panel must publish its decision within 60 days following the conclusion of a hearing. A hearing is considered to have concluded once the panel obtains the necessary information to allow it to make a decision.

SERVICE LEVEL STATISTICS <i>(Based on decisions published as at December 31, 2014)</i>	YEAR ENDING 2014	YEAR ENDING 2013	YEAR ENDING 2012
Average time from date appeal received to date of hearing	14.65 wks	15.55 wks	14.63 wks
Average time from hearing date to decision published	8.25 wks	8.09 wks	8.62 wks
AVERAGE TIME FROM DATE APPEAL RECEIVED TO DECISION PUBLISHED*	22.90 wks	23.64 wks	23.25 wks

*Includes all appeals:

- that awaited the selection of an acceptable hearing/review date;
- that awaited file access and other information sharing;
- where there was an adjournment at the request of the worker or employer; and,
- where additional information was requested after the hearing/review.

About Medical Review Panels

Medical Review Panels enable the WCB or Appeal Commission to obtain impartial, independent advice on medical matters that affect a worker's right to compensation.

Subsection 67(3) of the Act allows the WCB or Appeal Commission the discretion to refer a medical matter to a Medical Review Panel for an independent opinion. This may occur when a claim is fairly complex and an independent expert opinion is desired.

Subsection 67(4) of the Act establishes the right of an injured worker to a Medical Review Panel if there is a difference of opinion on a medical matter between the worker's physician and a WCB medical advisor and this difference affects the worker's entitlement to compensation. A Medical Review Panel must be convened if a difference of opinion, as defined by subsection 67(1) of the Act, exists.

Subsection 67(4.1) of the Act provides that an employer may request that the WCB refer a medical matter to a Medical Review Panel for its opinion. The medical matter must be real and substantial and affect entitlement to compensation.

A Medical Review Panel is comprised of a Chairperson and two panel members. The Minister responsible for the Act appoints the Chairperson and the Alternate Chairperson. The worker and employer select the other two panel members from a list of physicians, provided by the College of Physicians and Surgeons, who specialize in the matter under consideration. In addition, specialist consultants in other fields may be invited to attend and assist the panel.

Physicians who have treated the worker, who examine workers on behalf of the employer or who have acted as consultants in the treatment of the worker are not eligible to serve on the Medical Review Panel.

The independent nature of the Medical Review Panel process ensures that the medical advice obtained is impartial and is based upon the facts of the case as documented on file and as discovered through the process.

The findings of a Medical Review Panel are considered evidentiary in nature and are not binding upon the WCB or the Appeal Commission.

The Chairperson of Medical Review Panels is Dr. Mallory Fast. The Alternate Chairperson position is currently vacant.

Medical Review Panel Statistics

MEDICAL REVIEW PANELS (MRPs)	YEAR ENDING 2014	YEAR ENDING 2013	YEAR ENDING 2012
Total MRPs convened	1	1	3
INITIATED BY:			
Primary Adjudication	1	0	2
Review Office	0	1	0
Appeal Commission	0	0	1
CONVENED UNDER:			
Subsection 67(3)	0	0	1
Subsection 67(4)	1	1	2
Subsection 67(4.1)	0	0	0

MEDICAL REVIEW PANELS CONVENED BY AREA OF MEDICAL SPECIALTY	YEAR ENDING 2014	YEAR ENDING 2013	YEAR ENDING 2012
Orthopaedic Surgery	1	0	2
Psychiatry	0	1	0
Neurology	0	0	1
TOTAL	1	1	3

Service Levels

The convening of a Medical Review Panel is a complex process where a panel of specialists and consultants are brought together on a specific day to meet, examine a worker and answer questions placed to them. This involves arranging a time convenient to all parties, the preparation of documents and the publishing of the Medical Review Panel findings.

MEDICAL REVIEW PANEL SERVICE LEVEL STATISTICS	YEAR ENDING 2014	YEAR ENDING 2013	YEAR ENDING 2012
Average time from date of request to date MRP held	24.15 wks	29.14 wks	21.00 wks
Average time from date of MRP to date report is published	13.14 wks	4.00 wks	2.48 wks
AVERAGE TIME FROM DATE OF REQUEST TO DATE REPORT IS PUBLISHED	37.29 wks	33.14 wks	23.48 wks

2014 Appeal Commission and Medical Review Panel Annual Report



THE APPEAL COMMISSION

THE WORKERS COMPENSATION ACT OF MANITOBA

600 – 330 St. Mary Avenue

Winnipeg, Manitoba, Canada R3C 3Z5

Phone: (204) 925-6110 | **Toll Free:** 1-855-925-6110 | **Fax:** (204) 943-4393

E-mail: appeal@appeal.mb.ca | **Website:** www.appeal.mb.ca